Founding Clones, Inbreeding, Coancestry, and Status Number of Modern Apple Cultivars

Dominique A.M. Noiton

The Horticulture and Food Research Institute of New Zealand Ltd, Havelock North Research Center, Havelock North, New Zealand

Peter A. Alspach

The Horticulture and Food Research Institute of New Zealand Ltd, Riwaka Research Center, Motueka, New Zealand

Additional index words. breeding, genetic diversity, Malus × domestica

Abstract. Pedigrees of apple (*Malus* ×*domestica* Borkh.) cultivars were used to study worldwide genetic diversity among clones used in modern apple breeding. The most frequent founding clones were 'Cox's Orange Pippin', 'Golden Delicious', 'Red Delicious', 'Jonathan', and 'McIntosh'. Coefficients of coancestry between 50 mainstream cultivars and these clones averaged 0.03, 0.12, 0.07, 0.06, and 0.02, respectively, but they were frequently as high as 0.25 with certain pairings. Among a group of 27 cultivars carrying the Vf gene for scab resistance, coefficients of coancestry with the five founding clones were of the same order. Although few of the cultivars sampled were substantially inbred, inbreeding could reach serious levels in their future offspring if current breeding practices are continued. The status effective number was 8 for the mainstream group and 7 for the Vf-carrier clones. This indicates clearly that apple breeders are operating with a population of greatly reduced genetic diversity. Careful consideration of pedigrees and increased size of the genetic base are needed in future apple breeding strategies.

The domestic apple (*Malus* ×*domestica*), one of the world's most ancient and most widely cultivated temperate fruit, may have originated in western Asia from natural hybridization between several species including *M. sylvestris* Mill., *M. sieversii* Ldb., and *M. baccata* (L.) Borkh (Roach, 1985). Twenty-five species and more than 7000 cultivars have been reported in apple; however, despite this vast genetic diversity, modern commercial apple production is dominated by only a few cultivars (Way et al., 1990). This trend toward genetic uniformity in commercial apple orchards is further accentuated by the release of additional mutants of popular cultivars (Brooks and Olmo, 1991, 1994).

Most current commercial apple cultivars have been identified as chance seedlings, but these are slowly being replaced by new selections developed by private breeders or by public research agencies. Unfortunately, financial investment in apple breeding is generally decreasing (Way et al., 1990), and many breeding programs are restricted to commercial cultivar production by crossing well-known parents. Few resources are generally put into long-term population improvement. Consequently, most apple breeders are working within a population of a limited genetic base, which is likely to handicap future genetic improvement and the progress of the apple industry.

During the last 30 years, breeding objectives have mainly focused on meeting aesthetic standards established by supermarkets, but eating quality and disease resistance are now receiving greater priority. The apple breeding programs for resistance to scab (*Venturia inaequalis* Cke.) have mostly concentrated on the *Vf* gene from *M. floribunda* Sieb. clone 821. All cultivars carrying the *Vf* gene originated from a cross between two selections of *M*.

floribunda 821 x 'Rome Beauty'. Since 1970, more than 38 cultivars carrying the Vf gene have been released commercially (Crosby et al., 1992).

This study attempts to measure genetic diversity presently use in apple breeding throughout the world. Pedigrees available in the literature were used to study the genetic contribution of five major founding clones to a sample of 77 modern apple cultivars. Coefficients of inbreeding (Malécot, 1948) and coancestry (Cruden, 1949) and status effective number (Lindgren et al., 1995) were calculated for the 77 cultivars as indicators of genetic diversity.

Materials and Methods

Pedigrees of 439 apple cultivars (total of 377) and breeding selections from around the world were collected from available literature (Brooks and Olmo, 1972, 1975, 1978, 1984, 1991, 1994; de Coster, 1986; Cripps et al., 1993; Dayton et al., 1977; Fischer and Fischer, 1993a, 1993b; Korban et al., 1990; Le Lezec and Babin, 1992; Sadamori et al., 1973; Sansavini, 1993; Smith, 1971; Tamba et al., 1992; Wang, 1990; Williams et al., 1967, 1975, 1984; Yamada et al., 1980). From this database, 77 cultivars of known parentage released since 1970 were sampled to represent a range of countries of origin (Table 1). They were classified into two groups represented by 50 mainstream cultivars and by 27 Vfcarrier cultivars. The degree of relationship of these 77 clones with the five frequent founding clones, 'McIntosh', 'Golden Delicious', 'Jonathan', 'Cox's Orange Pippin', and 'Red Delicious' was investigated by calculating the individual coefficient of coancestry of each of these clones with the 50 mainstream cultivars and the 27 Vf-carrier cultivars. Inbreeding coefficients were calculated for the 77 cultivars themselves, being the same as the coefficient of coancestry of their two parents. Coefficients of coancestry were also calculated among the 50 mainstream cultivars, among the 27 Vf-carrier cultivars, and among the 77 cultivars together. This formed the base for calculating the status effective number of these populations.

Inbreeding and coancestry. Inbreeding coefficient of an indi-

Received for publication 23 Oct. 1995. Accepted for publication 14 Feb. 1996. This study was supported by the New Zealand Foundation for Research and Technology. We are grateful to Tony Shelbourne and Luis Gea from the New Zealand Forest Research Institute for sharing their knowledge on the status effective number. We also thank Mark McNeilage, Ron Beatson, and Alan Seal from HortResearch and Tony Shelbourne for editing the manuscript. The cost of publishing this paper was defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Under postal regulations, this paper therefore must be hereby marked *advertisement* solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1. Reported parentage	, country of	origin, and ye	ar of commercia	l release of 77 modern	apple cultivars.
1 1 0					11

Cultivar	Reported parentage	Origin	Year
	Mainstream group	-	
Akane	Jonathan x Worcester Pearmain	Japan	1970
Akita Gold	Golden Delicious x Fuji ^z	Japan	1990
Aori	Toko ^z x Richared Delicious ^z	Japan	>1970
Arlet	Golden Delicious x Idared ^z	Switzerland	1989
Burgundy	Monroe ^z x (Macoun x Antonovka)	United States	1974
Chantecler	Golden Delicious x Reinette Clochard	France	1977
Charden	Golden Delicious x Reinette Clochard	France	1971
Cloden	Golden Delicious x Reinette Clochard	France	1977
Delcorf	Jongrimes X Golden Delicious	France	1974
Delrouval	Delcorf X Akane	France	1993
Earlidel	Red Delicious x Early McIntosh ^z	Australia	1988
Elan	Golden Delicious x James Grieve	Netherlands	1989
Elstar	Golden Delicious x Ingrid Marie ^z	Netherlands	1972
Empress	Jonamac ² X Vista Bella	United States	1988
Falstaff	James Grieve x Golden Delicious	England	1989
Feleac	Jonathan open-pollinated	Romania	1980
Fiesta	Cox's Orange Pippin X Idared ²	England	1986
Fushuai	Early McIntosn ² X Golden Delicious	China D	1977
Generos	Frumos de Voinesti X ((Golden Pearmain X M. kaido) X Jonathan)	Romania	1983
Goldsmith	Granny Smith & Golden Delicious	South Alfica	1975
Uimalami	Fuire v Longthen	Lingiand	1977
Hokuto	$Fuji \times Johannan$	Japan	1985
Honeverisn	$f'uji \propto Muusu$ Macoun ^z X Honeygold ^z	Japan United States	1001
Hongbaoshi	Ralls Janet & Red Dalicious	China	1088
Huaguan	Golden Delicious X Euji ^z	China	1988
Huashuai	Fuji x Starkrimson ^z	China	1988
Tinguang	Ralls Janet X Red Delicious	China	1988
Jubile (Delbart)	Golden Delicious X Lundbytorn	France	>1970
Jupiter	Cox's Orange Pippin x Starking Delicious ^{z}	England	1981
Karmiin	Cox's Orange Pippin X Jonathan	Netherlands	1971
Kent	Cox's Orange Pippin X Jonathan	England	1974
Kogetsu	Golden Delicious x Jonathan	Japan	1981
Korona	(Mother x Red Rome Beauty) x Scotia ^z	Canada	1987
Luxiangziao	Jinhong ^z x (Ralls Janet x Starking Delicious ^v)	China	1988
Michinoku	Kitakami ^z x Tsugaru ^z	Japan	1981
Pink Lady	Golden Delicious x Lady Williams	Australia	1986
Predgornoe	London Pippin x Red Delicious	Ukrainia	1984
Qinguan	Golden Delicious x (Ralls Janet x Red Delicious)	China	1970
Rubinovoe Duki	Jonathan x Aport Alexander	Ukrainia	1989
Sansa	Gala ^z x Akane	Japan	1989
Scarlet	Akane x Starking Delicious ^v	Japan	1984
Senshu	Toko ^z x Fuji ^z	Japan	1980
Shamrock	McIntosh spur type x Starkspur Golden Delicious ^u	Canada	1986
Skifskoe	Golden Delicious x Wagener	Ukrainia	1984
Summerdel	Red Delicious x Earliblaze	Australia	1989
Sundowner	Golden Delicious x Lady Williams	Australia	1979
Suntan	Cox's Orange Pippin X Court Pendu Plat	England	1974
Vista Bella	((Melba x Sonora) x ((Williams x Starr) x USDA34) x Julyred ^z	United States	1974
Yanshanhong	Ralls Janet x Richared Delicious ^v	China	1989
	Vf-based group		
Baujade	Granny Smith x (Reinette du Mans x (Golden Delicious x		
	(Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ^z)))	France	1988
Britegold	Sandel ^z x (Platt Melba ^z x (Jonathan x F2 26829-2-2 ^z))	Canada	1980
Dayton	((Melba ^z x (Wealthy x Starr)) x (Red Rome Beauty ^y x Melba ^z)) x		
	((Jonathan x F2 26829-2- 2^z) x ((Melba x (Wealthy x Starr)) x		
	(Red Rome Beauty ^y x Melba ^z)))	United States	1987
Delorina	Grifer x Florina	France	1993
Enterprise	McIntosh x (Starking Delicious ^v x (Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2- 2^{2})) sib	United Sates	1994

Table 1. Continued.

Cultivar	Reported parentage	Origin	Year
Florina	Jonathan x (Starking Delicious ^v x (Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ²))	France	1977
Freedom	(Macoun ^z X Antonovka) X (Golden Delicious X F2 26829-2-2 ^z)	United States	1983
Goldrush	Golden Delicious x (Winesap open-pollinated x (Melrose ^z x		
	(Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ^z)))	United States	1994
Jolana	Spartan x PRI 370/15 ^t	Czechoslovakia	1985
Jonafree	((Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ^z) x Jonathan) x (Gallia Beauty ^y x Red Spy ^w)	United States	1979
Liberty	PRI 54-12t x Macoun ^z	United States	1979
McShay	McIntosh x (Starking Delicious ^v x (Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ^z))	United States	1981
Moira	McIntosh x (Jonathan x F2 26829-2- 2^{z})	Canada	1978
Novamac	McIntosh x (((Melba ^z x (Wealthy x Starr)) x (Red Rome Beauty ^y x Melba ^z))		
	x (Jonathan x F2 26829-2-2 ²))	Canada	1978
Pionier	(Verzisoare x Jonathan) x Prima	Romania	1982
Priam	Jonathan x (Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ²)	France/USA	1974
Prima	(Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ^z) x ((Melba ^z x (Wealthy x Starr))		
	x (Red Rome Beauty ^y x Melba ^z))	United States	1970
Priscilla	Starking Delicious ^v X (McIntosh X (Golden Delicious X F2 26829-2-2 ^z))	United States	1972
Redfree	Raritan ^z x (((Melba ^z x (Wealthy x Starr)) x (Red Rome Beauty ^y x Melba ^z)) x		
	(Jonathan x F2 26829-2- 2^{z}))	United States	1981
Retina	(Cox x Oldenburg) x F3 M. floribunda ^t	Germany	1991
Rewena	(Cox x Oldenburg) x F3 M. floribunda ^t	Germany	1991
Selena	Britemac ^z x Prima	Czechoslovakia	1990
Sir Prize	Tetraploid Golden Delicious x (Golden Delicious x F2 26829-2-2 ^z)	United States	1972
Trent	McIntosh x (Jonathan x F2 26829-2- 2^{z})	Canada	1979
Vandat	Jolana x Lord Lambourne	Czechoslovakia	1990
Voinea	Frumos de Voinesti x Prima	Romania	1985
William's Pride	(((Melba x (Wealthy x Starr)) x (Red Rome Beauty ^y x Melba ^z))		
	x (Jonathan x F2 26829-2- 2^{z})) x (Mollie's Delicious ^z x Julyred ^z)	United States	1988

²'Britemac' = 'Melba' x 'Kildare'; 'Early McIntosh' = 'Yellow Transparent' x 'McIntosh'; F2 26829-2-2 = ('Rome Beauty' x *M. floribunda* 821) x ('Rome Beauty' x *M. floribunda* 821); 'Fuji' = 'Ralls Janet' x 'Red Delicious'; 'Gala' = 'Kidd's Orange ('Red Delicious' x 'Cox Orange Pippin') x 'Golden Delicious'; 'Honeygold' = 'Golden Delicious' x 'Haralson'; 'Idared '= 'Jonathan' x 'Wagener'; 'Jonamac' = 'McIntosh' x 'Jonathan'; 'Julyred' = (('Petrel' x 'Early McIntosh') x ('Williams' x 'Starr')); 'Jinhong' = 'Golden Delisious' x 'Hongtaiping'; 'Kitakami' = ('McIntosh' x 'Worcester Pearmain') x 'Redgold' ('Golden Delicious' x 'Richared Delicious'); 'Macoun'= 'McIntosh' x 'Jersey Black'; 'Melba' = 'McIntosh 'openpollinated ; 'Melrose' = 'Jonathan' x 'Red Delicious'; 'Mollie's Delicious' = ('Golden Delicious' x 'Edgewood') x ('Red Gravenstein' x 'Close'); 'Monroe' = 'Jonathan' x 'Rome Beauty'; 'Mutsu' = 'Golden Delicious' x 'Indo'; 'Raritan' = ('Melba' x 'Sonora') x ('Melba' x ('William' x 'Starr')); 'Sandel' = 'Red Delicious' x 'Sandow'; 'Scotia' = 'McIntosh' open-pollinated; 'Spartan' = 'McIntoch' x 'Yellow Newton'; 'Tsugaru' = 'Golden Delicious' open-pollinated; 'Toko' = 'Golden Delicious' x 'Indo'.

^yMade equivalent to 'Rome Beauty'; 'Gallia Beauty' and 'Red Rome Beauty' = mutations of 'Rome Beauty'.

^xMade equivalent to 'Melba'; 'Platt Melba' = mutation of 'Melba'.

"Made equivalent to 'Northern Spy'; 'Red Spy' = mutation of 'Northern Spy'.

^vMade equivalent to 'Red Delicious'; 'Starking Delicious' = mutation of 'Red Delicious'; 'Starkrimson' = mutation of 'Starking Delicious'; 'Richared Delicious' = mutation of 'Red Delicious'.

^uMade equivalent to 'Golden Delicious'; 'Starkspur Golden Delicious' = mutation of Golden Delicious'.

^tIncomplete parentage.

vidual was defined by Malécot (1948) as the probability that its allelic pairs were identical by descent. The inbreeding coefficient of an individual depends on the amount of common ancestry of its two parents. The degree of relationship by descent of the two parents is their coefficient of coancestry, f, which is identical with the inbreeding coefficient, F, of their progeny. Inbreeding coefficient was computed using an algorithm developed by Alspach (1976), which is very similar to that of Cruden (1949).

All parents were treated as diploid, and parents of unknown origin were assumed to be unrelated and noninbred. Apples are mostly self-incompatible, and it was assumed that cultivars without known pedigree originated from outcrossed open-pollination, underestimating possible inbreeding. All mutants were regarded as the same as the original cultivar (for example 'Jonared' was listed as 'Jonathan'). Since only few genes are expected to be different between such mutants and the original, this simplification can lead to minor overestimation of inbreeding coefficients. Allelic contributions from both parents were assumed to be equal and unaltered by breeders' selection. As it is uncertain whether apple breeders would select for or against homozygosity, the effect of this assumption on the inbreeding coefficient estimate is unknown.

Status effective number. The status effective number of a breeding population (Lindgren et al., 1995) is defined as the number of unrelated and noninbred genotypes in an ideal panmictic population that would produce progeny with the same average coefficient of inbreeding as the progeny of the genotypes of a panmictic breeding population. Self-pollination and free mating with relatives is assumed in the panmictic breeding population. Status effective number, which can be compared with the actual census number of a population, measures the genetic diversity of that population. It can be derived for any population of known pedigree through calculating the matrix of coancestries. It can never be higher than the census number, and it generally declines with time. Status number is calculated as Ns = 0.5/f, where Ns is

Table 2. Inbreeding coefficients and coancestry	coefficients with	'Cox's Orange Pippin'	'Red Delicious',	Golden Delicious',	'Jonathan', and
'MacIntosh' of 77 modern apple cultivars.					

		with				
	Inbreeding	Cox's Orange	Red	Golden		
Cultivar	coefficients	Pippin	Delicious	Delicious	Jonathan	MacIntosh
		Mains	tream group			
Akane	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000
Akita Gold	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.250	0.000	0.000
Arlet	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.125	0.000
Burgundy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.063
Chantecler	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Charden	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Cloden	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Delcorf	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Delrouval	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.125	0.000
Earlidel	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.125
Elan	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Elstar ^z	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Empress	0.063	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.188
Estivale	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.125	0.000	0.000
Falstaff	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Feleac	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000
Fiesta	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000
Fushuai	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.125
Generos	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000
Goldsmith	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Greensleeves	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Himekami	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.250	0.000
Hokuto	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.125	0.000	0.000
Honeycrisp	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.125
Hongbaoshi	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000
Huaguang	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.250	0.000	0.000
Huashuai	0.250	0.000	0.375	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jinguang	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jubilee	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Jupiter	0.000	0.250	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000
Karmijn	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000
Kent	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000
Kogetsu	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.230	0.230	0.000
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.123
Michinoiku	0.000	0.000	0.123	0.123	0.000	0.000
Pipk Lody	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.188	0.000	0.003
Predgornoe	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.230	0.000	0.000
Qinguan	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.000	0.000
Rubinovoe	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Sansa	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.125	0.125	0.000
Scarlet	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.125	0.000
Senshu	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.125	0.000	0.000
Shamrock	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.129	0.000	0.000
Skifskoe	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Summerdel	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sundowner	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000
Suntan	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Vista Bella	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125
Yanshanhong	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mean	0.010	0.029	0.073	0.121	0.055	0.024
		Vf-ca	rrier group	·····		··· ·
Baujade	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.094	0.000	0.000
Britegold	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.004	0.000	0.000
Davton	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.005	0.003
Delorina	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.031	0.125	0.000

		Coefficient of coancestry with													
Cultivar	Inbreeding coefficients	Cox's Orange Pippin	Red Delicious	Golden Delicious	Jonathan	MacIntosh									
Enterprise	0.250	0.000	0.125	0.063	0.000	0.250									
Florina	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.063	0.250	0.000									
Freedom	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.063									
Goldrush	0.063	0.000	0.031	0.281	0.031	0.000									
Jolana ^y	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125									
Jonafree	0.066	0.000	0.031	0.094	0.156	0.000									
Liberty ^y	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125									
McShay	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.063	0.000	0.250									
Moira	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.250									
Novamac	0.063	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.281									
Pionier	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.125	0.031									
Priam	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.250	0.000									
Prima	0.031	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.063									
Priscilla	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.063	0.000	0.125									
Redfree	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.031									
Retina ^y	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000									
Rewera ^y	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000									
Selena	0.063	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.000	0.094									
Sir Prize	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.375	0.000	0.000									
Trent	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125	0.250									
Vanda ^y	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.063									
Voinea	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.000	0.031									
William's Pride	0.033	0.000	0.000	0.031	0.078	0.078									
Mean	0.041	0.009	0.032	0.064	0.056	0.084									
Grand mean	0.021	0.022	0.058	0.101	0.055	0.045									

²'Ingrid Marie' assumed to derived from 'Cox's Orange Pippin' open pollination. ^yIncomplete parentage available.

the status number, and f is the average coancestry of the population (including selfing).

Results and Discussion

Founding clones. About 64% of 439 cultivars and selections studied was found to be descended from only five founding clones: 'McIntosh' (101 cultivars), 'Golden Delicious' (87 cultivars), 'Jonathan' (74 cultivars), 'Cox's Orange Pippin' (59 cultivars), and 'Red Delicious' (56 cultivars). Among these, 96 cultivars had two or more of the five founding clones in their parentage. Other frequent cultivars occurring in pedigrees included 'James Grieve', 'Rome Beauty', and 'Wealthy'.

'McIntosh' was extensively used as a parent in Canada (it is present in pedigrees of 37 of the 65 Canadian cultivars sampled), the United States (34 of 115), and eastern Europe (11 of 41), but rarely occurred in pedigrees from other countries (5 of 159). 'Golden Delicious' was found in the pedigrees of many cultivars from Pacific-Rim countries such as Japan, China, Australia, and New Zealand (26 of 47), from western Europe (18 of 50), and to a lesser extent from the United States (21 of 115). 'Jonathan' was mostly used in breeding programs in western Europe (13 of 50) and in the United States (29 of 115). 'Cox's Orange Pippin' contributed to 30 of the 62 cultivars released from the United Kingdom compared to 15 of the 50 cultivars from western Europe and 10 of the 227 cultivars from all other countries. 'Red Delicious' was frequent in pedigrees of cultivars from Pacific-Rim countries (17 of 47)) and from the United States (26 of 115).

Of 439 cultivars and selections sampled, 41% of those released

before 1930 was related to at least one of the five main founding clones. This increased to 74% during 1940–60 and remained at 73% in recent releases.

These results support Brown's concern (1973) about the trend in excessive use of 'Cox's Orange Pippin', 'Golden Delicious', 'Jonathan', 'Red Delicious', and 'McIntosh' as parents. The problem of restricted number of founding clones in apple breeding is common to many fruit crops, such as raspberry (Dale et al., 1993), blueberry (Hancock and Siefker, 1982), and peach (Scorza et al., 1988). The predominance of only five founding clones in modern apple cultivars may be explained by the lack of information on the breeding value of apple germplasm, which deters breeders from using untested parents. Cultivars such as 'Golden Delicious', 'Red Delicious', 'Jonathan', 'McIntosh', and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' have been reported to be generally valuable parents (Davis et al., 1954; Lantz, 1936). 'Red Delicious' seems to transmit red color, while 'Cox's Orange Pippin' and 'Golden Delicious' are useful to breed yellow and green apples (Brown, 1992; Percival and Proctor, 1994). In addition, mutants of 'Red Delicious', 'McIntosh', and 'Golden Delicious' are used in breeding for compact, spur-type, and dwarf growth habits (Brown, 1992).

Coancestry of apples. The mean coefficients of coancestry (Table 2) of the 77 cultivars included in this study were 0.101 with 'Golden Delicious', 0.058 with 'Red Delicious', 0.055 with 'Jonathan', 0.044 with 'McIntosh', and 0.022 with 'Cox's Orange Pippin'. Coefficients of coancestry ranged between 0 for most pairings to 0.281 for 'GoldRush' with 'Golden Delicious' and 'Novamac' with 'McIntosh'. The high levels of coancestry found

Clone	Akane	Akita Gold	Aori	Arlet	Burgundy	Chantecler	Charden	Cloden	Delcorf	Delrouval	Earlidet	Elan	Elstar	Empress	Falstaff	Feleac	Fiesta	Fushuai	Generos	Goldsmith	Greensleaves	Himekami	Hokuta	Honeycrisp	Hangbaoshi	Huaguan	Huashuai
Akane	500			63	63	÷	-	-	•	250) -	-	-	63		125	63	-	63		-	125	-	_			<u> </u>
Akita Gold	-	500	125	5 125	5 -	125	125	5 125	5 125	63	63	125	125	5 -	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	125	188	63	125	: 250	189
Aori	-	125	500	125	i -	125	125	5 125	5 125	63	-	125	125	; -	125	-	-	125	-	125	125		63	63		125	100
Arlet	63	125	63	500	31	_ 125	125	5 125	5 125	94	-	125	125	5 31	125	63	125	125	31	125	125	63	63	63		125	
Burgundy	63	-	-	31	500	} _	-	-	-	31	16	-	-	53	-	63	31	16	31			63		63	_	120	
Chantecler	-	125	63	125	· •	500	250	250	125	63	-	125	125		125	-	_	125		125	125		63	63	_	105	-
Charden	-	125	63	125	i -	250	500	250	125	63	-	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	-	63	63	-	125	-
Cloden	•	125	63	125	- י	250	250	500	125	63	-	125	125	i -	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	_	63	63	-	125	-
Delcorf	-	125	63	125		125	125	125	500	250	-	125	125		125		-	125	-	125	125	_	63	63	_	125	
Deirouval	250	63	31	94	31	63	63	63	250	500	- 1	63	63	31	63	63	31	63	31	63	63	63	31	31	_	63	_
Earlidel	-	63	125	i -	16	-	•	-	-	-	500] - [-	55	-	-	-	125	-	-		63	63	31	125	63	188
Elan	-	125	63	125	-	125	125	125	125	63	-	500	125	-	250	-	-	125	-	125	250	-	63	63	-	125	100
Elslar	-	125	63	125	-	125	125	125	125	63	-	125	500	- 1	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	-	63	63	_	125	_
Empress	63	-	-	31	53	-	-	-	-	31	55	-	-	523	1 - 1	63	31	47	31	-		63	-	47	-		-
Faistaff	-	125	63	125	-	125	125	125	125	63	-	250	125	-	500	1.	-	125	-	125	250		63	63	_	125	-
Feleac	125	•	-	63	63	-	•	-	-	63	-	-	-	63	-	500	63	-	63	-	-	125	-		_	-	
Fiesta	63	-	-	125	31	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	31	-	63	500	-	31	-	-	63	-		_	_	
Fushuai	-	125	63	125	16	125	125	125	125	63	125	125	125	55	125	-	-	500	-	125	125		63	94		125	
Generos	63	-	-	31	31	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	31	-	63	31	- 1	500	-		63	-	-	-	-	
Goldsmith	•	125	63	125	-	125	125	125	125	63	-	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	500	125	_	63	63	-	125	-
Greensleaves	-	125	63	125	-	125	125	125	125	63	-	250	125	-	250	-	-	125	-	125	500	-	63	63	-	125	-
Himekami	125	125	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	63	63	•	-	63	-	125	63	-	63	- '	-	500	125	-	125	125	188
HOKLIKO	-	188	125	63	-	63	63	63	63	31	63	63	63	-	63	-	-	63	-	63	63	125	500	31	125	166	188
Honeycrisp	-	- 63	31	63	63	63	63	63	63	31	31	63	63	43	63	-	-	94	-	63	63	- '	31	500	1 -	63	_
Hongbaoshi	-	125	125	-	-		-	-	•	-	125	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	125	125		500	125	250
Huaguan	-	250	125	125	-	125	125	125	125	63	63	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	125	188	63	125	500	188
litabuona	-	188	188	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	188	166	-	250	188	625
Jugnuarig	-	125	125	-	•		-	•		-	125		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125	125	-	250	125	250
Juniter	-	60	105	125	•	125	125	125	125	63	-	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	-	63	63	-	125	-
Karmin	175	00	120	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	125	-	-	-	•	-	125	•	-	-	-	63	63	-	125	63	188
Kent	125	-	-	60	03	•	-	-	-	63	-	-	-	63	•	125	188		63	-	-	125	•	-	-	-	-
Konetsu	125	125		400	63	100	476	405	-	63	-		-	63	-	125	188		63	-	•	125	-	-	-	-	-
Korona	120	125	00	100	47	120	120	120	125	125	-	125	125	63	125	125	63	125	63	125	125	125	63	63	-	125	-
t uxianozian	_	125		63	47	67	-	- -	-	-	31		-	43	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
Michinola	-	120	70	03		63	03	03	63	31	63	63	63	-	63	-	-	63	-	63	63	63	94	31	125	125	125
Pink Lody	31	108	78	94	ð	94	94	94	94	63	47	94	94	21	94	-	-	109	-	94	94	16	63	63	31	109	47
Predoomoe	-	62	125	120	-	125	125	125	125	63	-	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	-	63	63	-	125	-
Cinquan	-	100	120	-	-	405	-	-		-	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	63	•	125	63	188
Rubinnyne Duki	125	100	125	120	-	125	125	125	125	63	63	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	63	125	63	125	188	125
Sansa	250	79	-	03	03	-	-	-		63	-		-	63		125	63	•	63	-	-	125	-		-	-	-
Scadel	200	60	105	34	31	63	63	63	63	156	31	63	63	31	63	63	63	63	31	63	63	78	47	31	31	78	47
Senshu	200	189	04	ତ । ସେ	31	~	-	-	~~	125	125	-	-	31	-	63	31	•	31	-	-	125	63	-	125	63	188
Shamrock	-	126	54	100	-	63	03	63	63	31	63	63	63	-	63	-	-	63	-	63	63	125	188	31	125	188	188
Skifskoe		125	60	100	31	120	120	125	125	63	63	125	125	86	125	-	-	188	-	125	125	-	63	125	-	125	-
Summerdel	_	63	125	100	•	1ZĢ	120	120	125	63	-	125	125	-	125	-	63	125	-	125	125	-	63	63	-	125	-
Sundowner	_	125	63	125		125	125	126	- 105	-	125	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	63	-	125	63	188
Suntan	-	-		120		120	120	125	120	03	-	125	125	-	125	-	-	125	-	125	125	-	63	63	-	125	-
vista Bella	-	-		-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yanshanhono	-	125	125	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	4/	-	-	291	-	-	-	47	-	-	-	•	-	23	-	-	-
		120	140	-	•	-	-	•	-	-	123	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	125	125	-	250	125	250
Mean x	37	90	64	76	17	64	64	64	63	55	39	64	59	25	64	25	27	67	13	5 9	64	56	62	36	46	90	59
Mean *	47	100	74	86	27	74	74	74	73	65	49	74	69	36	74	35	37	77	23	69	74	66	72	46	56	100	72

²Coefficients of coancestry values \times 1000; self-pollinated = 500; parent-offspring = 250; full sibs = 250; half sibs = 125; first cousins = 63. No coancestry of known parents indicated with dashes.

^xMean coefficient of coancestry excluding selfing.

"Mean coefficient of coancestry including selfing.

between many modern cultivars and 'Golden Delicious', 'Red Delicious', 'Jonathan', 'McIntosh', and 'Cox's Orange Pippin' indicate that further use of the five founding clones or their descendants will increase the risk of inbreeding in future generations.

Coefficients of coancestry among all 77 cultivars are shown in Tables 3, 4, and 5. The mean coancestry within cultivars in the mainstream (Table 3) and Vf-carrier (Table 4) groups was similar (0.051 and 0.050, respectively). Mean coancestry coefficients ranged from 0.006 to 0.090 in the first group and from 0.017 to 0.088 in the second group. The mean coancestry (Table 5) between the mainstream and the Vf-carrier group was more than half (0.032)

of that found for each group and ranged from 0.009 to 0.092. Mean coancestry of the 77 selected apple cultivars was comparable with coancestry in plums (0.069 to 0.080) (Byrne, 1989) but was low compared with average coancestry reported in peaches (0.023 to 0.208, 0.034 to 0.330) (Scorza et al., 1985)...

Coancestry between mainstream cultivars (Table 3) was generally higher than coancestry between Vf-carrier cultivars (Table 4). About 25% of parental combinations in the first group had coefficients of coancestry ≥0.125 (selfings excluded) against 8% in the second group. About 5% of parental combinations between both groups (Table 5) showed coefficients of coancestry >0.125. These results indicate that pedigrees should be carefully examined before

Jingh Jublik Kent Jublik Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Sum Kuti Sum Kuti Sum Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent Kent	, ≫ Mean* Mean*
Akane 125 125 125 31 125 250	- 37 47
Akila Gold 125 125 63 - 125 - 125 109 125 63 188 - 78 63 188 125 125 63 125	125 90 100
Aoni - 63 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 125	- 58 68
Arlet - 125 - 63 63 188 - 63 94 125 - 125 63 94 31 63 125 188 - 125	- 74 84
Burgundy 63 63 63 67 - 8 63 31 31 - 31 12	- 17 27
Chantecler - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 126	- 63 73
Charden - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 125	- 63 73
Cloden - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 125	- 63 73
Delcorf - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 125	- 62 /2
Delrouval - 63 - 63 63 125 - 31 63 63 - 63 63 156 125 31 63 63 - 63 -	- 54 64
Earlidel 125 - 125	125 41 51
Elan $-125125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 125$	- 63 /3
Elstar - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 53 - 63 125 125 - 125	- 30 00
Empress 63 63 53 47 - 23 53 31 31 - 94 291	- 20 30
Falstaff - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 53 53 125 125 - 125	- 03 /3
Feleac 125 125 125 125 53 53	- 23 33
	- 2) 31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 12 23
	- 13 23
Goldsmith 120 - - 121 - 03 24 123 - 124 - 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 <th125< th=""> <th125< th=""> <th125< th=""></th125<></th125<></th125<>	- 63 73
Greensleaves - 125 125 - 05 54 125 - 125 - 05 - 06 125 - 125 - 05 - 06 125 - 125	125 57 67
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	125 63 73
	36 46
Honobeseti 250 - 125 125 31 - 125 125 - 31 125 125 125	250 49 59
Husenson 125 125 63 - 125 125 109 125 63 188 - 78 63 188 125 125 63 125	125 90 100
Huashirai 250 - 188 125 47 - 188 125 - 47 188 188	250 63 76
Linghuang 500 - 125	250 51 61
indiana - 500 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 125	- 58 68
Jupiter 125 - 500 125 125 - 63 31 - 125 63 - 63 125 63 - 125 - 125 -	125 44 54
Karmin - 125 500 250 125 125 94 63 125 -	- 36 46
Kent - 125 250 500 125 125 94 63 125 -	- 36 46
Konetsu - 125 - 125 500 - 63 94 125 - 125 125 63 63 125 125 - 125	- 83 93
Korona	- 6 16
Luxiangziao 125 63 63 - 63 500 63 63 63 125 - 47 63 94 63 63 63 63	125 55 65
Michingku 31 94 31 - 94 16 63 631 94 31 109 - 70 47 63 125 94 31 94 - 12	31 57 68
Pink adv - 125 - 125 - 63 94 500 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 250	- 61 71
Predopringe 125 - 125	125 34 44
Ojnouan 250 125 63 - 125 - 125 109 125 63 500 - 78 63 125 125 125 63 125 -	125 86 96
Rubinovce Duki 125 125 125 500 63 63	- 25 35
Sansa 31 63 63 94 94 125 - 47 70 63 31 78 63 500 156 47 63 63 31 63 31 -	31 63 73
Scarlet 125 - 125 63 63 63 - 63 47 - 125 63 63 156 500 63 125	125 55 65
Senshu 125 63 63 - 63 - 94 63 63 63 125 - 47 63 500 63 63 63 63	125 62 72
Shamrock - 125 125 63 63 125 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 500 125 - 125 - 47	- 67 77
Skifskoe - 125 125 - 63 94 125 - 125 - 63 - 63 - 63 125 500 - 125	- 61 71
Summerdel 125 - 125 63 31 - 125 63 - 31 125 63 - 500	125 34 44
Sundowner - 125 125 - 63 94 250 - 125 - 63 - 63 125 125 - 500	- 61 71
Suntan - 125 125 125 31 500 -	- 11 21
Vista Belia	- 10 21
Yanshanhong 250 - 125 125 31 - 125 125 - 31 125 125 125	500 49 59
Mean x 49 58 42 36 36 84 6 55 58 62 31 86 25 63 52 62 68 62 31 62 11 10	46 51
Mean " 59 68 52 46 46 94 16 65 68 72 41 96 35 73 62 72 78 72 41 72 21 21	56 61

selecting parents. With increasing demands for disease-resistant cultivars, future apple cultivars will not solely derive from intermating individuals within the mainstream group. However, with the high coefficients of coancestry between many individuals from the mainstream and Vf-carrier groups (Table 5), the latter group provides only a short-term solution. In addition, report of a new race of apple scab virulent to all Vf gene cultivars and selections tested (Parisi et al., 1993) reinforces the need for other sources of scab resistance. It is essential in future to introduce new germplasm into breeding programs and combine resistances to several diseases and pests.

Inbreeding coefficients. Among cultivars sampled, 6% showed an inbreeding coefficient >0. Inbreeding coefficients ranged from 0 for most cultivars to 0.297 for 'Dayton'. The inbreeding coefficients of 'Tydeman's Late Orange', 'Sinta', 'Enterprise', 'Howgate Wonder', 'Mellow', and 'Webster' were all 0.250. For the 77 modern cultivars studied, four of the 50 mainstream cultivars and nine of the 27 Vf-carrier cultivars were inbred (Table 2). Mean inbreeding coefficients were 0.01 for cultivars in the mainstream group and 0.04 for cultivars in the Vf-carrier group. Overall, the inbreeding level in apple is low compared with other fruit crops such as peach (0.26 to 0.35) (Scorza et al., 1988), blueberry (0.13) (Hancock and Siefker, 1982), and raspberry (0.12) (Dale et al., 1993). Mean inbreeding coefficients in apple are similar to those reported in plums (0.02 to 0.05) (Byrne, 1989). However mean coefficients of coancestry of the 77 apple cultivars sampled were 2 to 5 times their mean inbreeding coefficients. Consequently, even if inbreeding in apple is not a problem in this generation, the coancestry level of many future potential parents indicates that problems may arise in the next generation.

Little is known about the effects of inbreeding in apple. It has increased the juvenile period of progenies related to 'Cox's Orange

Clone	Baujade	Britegold	Dayton	Delorina	Enterprise	Florina	Freedom	Goldrush	^v olana ^v	Jonafree	Liberty ^x	McShay	Moira	Novamac	Pionier	Priam	Prima	Priscilla	Redfree	Retina ^y	Rewena ^y	Selena	Sir Prize	Trent	Vanda ^y	Voinea	William's Pride	Mean	* Mean_"
Baujade Britegold Dayton Delorina Enterprise Florina Freedom Goldrush Jolana ^y Jonafree Liberty ^y MoShay Moira Novamac Prionier Priam Prima Priscilla Redfree Retina ^y Selena Sir Prize Trent Vanda ^y Voinea William's Pride	500 5 8 8 17 33 55 - 25 - 25 - 17 10 6 8 33 355 17 6 10 10 10 10 10 18 80 10 - 18 80 10 - 18 80	5 5000 700 72 772 772 772 772 772 7	8 700 648 23 63 47 43 23 43 23 43 23 63 94 145 139 98 63 246 39 98 31 170 31 170 94 12 123 116	8 366 23 5000 63 2500 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 41 21 41 20 40 21 10 10 10 10 27	17 72 63 625 66 55 63 125 66 55 63 145 25 63 143 27 20 66 56 31 35 63 143 27 20 66 56 31 35 37 43 35 37 43 35 37 43 35 37 43 35 56 56 56 56 57 57 56 57 56 56 56 57 57 56 56 57 57 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	17 72 47 125 500 35 70 119 - 63 82 43 82 188 39 80 20 20 20 20 66 82 - 20 55	33 27 43 18 66 35 500 80 53 63 63 53 63 63 53 63 63 53 63 63 53 63 53 63 53 63 53 63 53 63 53 63 53 50 59 43 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	55 17 12 55 55 70 80 55 18 10 55 10 10 49 82 56 10 10 41 12 82 56 10 10 41 12 82 56	16 23 - 63 - 500 - 31 63 63 - 16 - 31 63 63 - 16 8 - 23 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	25 42 43 60 41 119 53 188 - 533 - 41 68 37 68 145 59 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	- 16 23 - 31 - 63 - 63 - 31 - 500 63 - 63 - 16 - 31 - 8 	17 72 63 31 86 63 66 55 63 152 63 70 20 66 66 63 70 20 20 66 66 31 35 63 70 35 63 70 35 63 70 34 70 20 66 63 70 20 63 70 20 70 20 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	10 66 94 41 145 70 18 63 63 63 145 500 180 102 78 82 55 39 39 70 9 195 31 39 74	6 66 145 21 152 43 59 10 70 37 70 152 180 531 54 55 88 82 86 23 98 82 82 35 49 109	18 43 139 41 35 82 43 35 82 43 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	33 51 63 63 63 188 70 109 - 145 55 55 102 500 78 102 555 39 39 39 133 102 - 39 70	35 55 246 20 39 86 82 16 59 16 59 16 59 16 59 88 258 85 55 66 47 47 289 141 78 8 258 8 55 55 56 647 47 289 147 8 8 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	17 88 39 40 51 56 31 143 82 27 35 550 20 20 43 66 82 20 20 43 66 27 31	6 35 98 21 27 38 27 55 86 20 23 23 49 23 55 4 33 78	10 20 31 10 20 20 43 10 20 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	10 20 31 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	18 59 170 10 20 21 22 23 66 23 96 145 223 23 23 23 23 531 70 12 145 68	80 20 31 33 66 66 133 221 - 66 39 39 70 625 39 - 70 47	10 66 94 415 70 18 63 145 539 39 70 102 78 825 39 70 39 74 39 74	8 12 - 31 - 8 - 250 - 16 - 31 - 31 - 31 - 500 - 4 - 8 - 12 - - 8 - - 16 - 31 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	18 27 123 10 20 43 41 8 29 8 35 39 49 258 27 39 258 23 23 23 23 23 24 5 5 39 49 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 43 43 29 20 20 43 29 20 20 43 20 20 43 20 20 43 20 20 43 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	12 47 47 47 55 39 28 16 27 47 53 9 28 16 27 47 4 9 28 31 8 23 8 8 47 7 8 5 32 8 6 8 7 8 5 39 8 6 8 5 39 8 6 8 5 7 8 8 6 7 7 8 5 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	17 41 68 35 70 61 49 47 28 221 66 52 72 62 58 81 51 66 24 24 24 46 60 72 9 49 49	36 60 92 53 79 67 47 40 85 91 80 84 107 69 42 42 83 91 37 67 67
Mean [×] Mean ^w	17 36	41 60	68 92	35 53	70 93	61 79	49 68	47 67	28 47	52 72	21 40	66 85	72 91	72 91	62 80	65 84	88 107	51 69	36 54	24 4 2	24 42	64 83	60 83	72 91	19 37	49 67	48 67	50	70

²Coefficients of coancestry values \times 1000. Self-pollinated = 500; parent-offspring = 250; full sibs = 250; half sibs = 125; first cousins =63. No coancestry of known parents indicated with dashes.

^yCultivars with incomplete parentage.

^xMean coefficient of coancestry excluding selfing.

^wMean coefficient of coancestry including selfing.

Pippin' and has reduced their vigor and survival rate (Brown, 1973). It can be a useful strategy for the production of commercial cultivars, especially if the relationship between inbreeding coefficients and traits of interest is known. However inbreeding also increases uniformity within progenies which may jeopardize future improvement (Lesley, 1957). The role of inbreeding in apple breeding needs to be studied both for short-term commercial cultivar production and for long-term population improvement.

Status effective number. The status effective numbers were 8.2 for the 50 mainstream cultivars (Table 3), 7.1 for the 27 *Vf*-carrier cultivars (Table 4), and 10.2 for the 77 cultivars of both groups analyzed together (Table 5). This means that genetic diversity in each of these three groups is reduced to the equivalent of 8, 7, and 10 panmictic-mated, unrelated, and noninbred genotypes, respectively. Such small status effective numbers indicate that breeders are working with a very narrow genetic base.

The status effective number is a useful quantitative measure of the current state of genetic diversity in a breeding population and extends information given by inbreeding and coancestry coefficients (Lindgren et al., 1995). It can be calculated easily for any population from a coancestry matrix and will assist breeders in assessing the germplasm they are using. For example, status effective numbers, calculated from published results, were 2.6 to 4.4 in peach (Scorza et al., 1985) and 6.3 to 7.2 in plums (Byrne, 1989).

Despite the availability of large numbers of modern cultivars and breeding selections from apple breeding programs, worldwide, the actual size of the genetic resources currently used by breeders is small and, in the course of future genetic improvement, may become exhausted. This loss of genetic diversity will result in a preponderance of genes from the main founding clones, while genes from other germplasm will disappear. There is a great need to broaden the genetic base for breeding new apple cultivars. Modified backcross mating design has been used by many breeders to minimize loss of genetic diversity, particularly for the development of scab-resistant apple cultivars carrying the *Vf* gene (Williams et al., 1967, 1975, 1984). However, the genetic base from which these recurrent parents are chosen is still narrow.

One strategy followed in New Zealand since 1989 (Noiton and Shelbourne, 1992) is to use recurrent selection for combining ability to develop an apple breeding population. Such strategy is used by most forest tree breeding programs. The apple breeding population was established from open-pollinated seeds of 500 cultivars collected from clonal repositories throughout the world. This strategy will increase and maintain a high level of diversity for the sustainable improvement of a large number of useful traits.

Literature Cited

- Alspach, P.A. 1976. Computation of inbreeding coefficients from stored pedigree data using Malecot's method. MS thesis, Univ. of York, England.
- Brooks, R.M. and H.P. Olmo. 1972. Register of new fruit and nut varieties. 2nd ed. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley.
- Brooks, R.M. and H.P. Olmo. 1975. Register of new fruit and nut varieties list 30. HortScience 10:471–478
- Brooks, R.M. and H.P. Olmo. 1978. Register of new fruit and nut varieties list 31. HortScience 13:522–532.
- Brooks, R.M. and H.P. Olmo. 1984. Register of new fruit and nut varieties list 34. HortScience 19:359–363.

Brooks, R.M. and H.P. Olmo. 1991. Register of new fruit and nut varieties

01	aujade	ritegold	layton	elorina	interprise	lorina	геедот	soldrush	olana ^y	onafree	iberty ^y	AcShay	Aoira	lovamac	ionier	riam	^o rima	riscilla	łedfree	łelina ^y	Rewena ^y	selena	Sir Prize	lrænt	/ande ^y	Voinea	Milliam's Pride	Mean
		•		<u> </u>	ш 	<u> </u>	u.				_	~		-	<u> </u>	405						<i></i>				-	20	
Akane Akina Cald		31	31	63 21	-	125	-	16 148	•	78 55	-	63	- 53	31	63 31	125	63	94	37	2		31	188	-	2	31	16	40
Akita Gold	41 73	63	-	30	78	7A	31	86		39	_	78	-	-	16	31	31	141	-	-	-	16	94	-	-	16	8	32
Arlett	47	16	16	47	31	94	63	148	-	86	÷	31	31	16	63	125	63	31	16	-	-	31	166	31	-	31	35	46
Burnundy	4	31	59	35	39	70	78	12	16	51	63	39	78	66	51	78	39	23	35	20	20	27	16	78	8	20	43	41
Chantecler	47	-		16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	32
Charden	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	32
Cloden	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	32
Delcorf	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	32
Delrouval	23	16	16	39	16	78	31	78	-	63	-	16	31	16	47	94	31	16	16	-	•	16	94	31	-	16	27	30
Earlide	-	78	23	31	125	63	16	16	31	16	31	125	63	70	8		16	156	8	-	•	23	-	63	16	8	21	37
Elan	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	100	-	-	31	16	32
Elstar	47	-		16	31	31	63	141		4/	-	31	-	110	3,1	63	0-3 -35	31		-	-	51	100	117	21	18	82	48
Empress	-	49	68	31	85	53	21	144	43	39	43	20	117	119	43	63	53	31			-	31	188			31	16	32
Falstaff	4/	-		10	31	125	03	16	-	78	-	-	63	31	63	125		-	31		÷	-	-	63			39	28
Feleac	-	18	16	31		63	-	8		39		-	31	16	31	63	-	-	16	63	63	-	-	31	-		20	19
Fiesta Fushupi	47	16	23	16	94	31	78	141	31	47	31	94	63	70	39	63	78	63	8	-	-	55	188	63	16	39	43	53
Generos	-	16	16	31	-	63	-	8	•	39	-	-	31	16	31	63	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	31	-	125	20	19
Goldsmith	172			16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	166	-	-	31	16	36
Greensleeves	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	32
Himekami	-	63	31	78	31	156		23	-	86	-	31	63	31	63	125	-	63	31	-	-	-	-	63	-	-	39	36
Hokuto	23	31		23	47	47	31	78	-	31	-	47	•	-	16	31	31	76	-	-	-	16	94	-	-	16	8	24
Honeycrisp	23	16	23	8	78	16	94	70	31	23	125	78	63	70	23	31	47	47	8	-	-	39	94	63	16	23	23	42
Hongbaoshi	-	63	-	31	63	63	-	16	-	16	-	63	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	-	•	-	-	-	-			15
Huaguan	47	31	-	31	63	63	63	148	-	55	-	63	-	-	31	63	63	94	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	40
Huashuai	-	94	-	47	94	94	-	23	-	23	-	94	-	-	-	-	-	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
Jinghuan	-	63	-	31	63	63	-	15	-	16	-	63	-	-		-	-	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		16	32
Jubilee	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	- 	- e2	31	100	-	-	-	-	21
Jupiter	-	63		31	63	63	-	16	-	16	-	63	-	-	-	195	-	120	21	63	63	:	-	63	-	-	39	33
Karmijn	-	31	31	63	-	125	-	10	-	70	-	-	63	35	63	125	-		31	63	63	-	-	63		-	39	33
Kent	-	31	31	53	-	120	-	156	-	125	-	21	63	31	94	199	63	31	31		-	31	188	63	-	31	55	60
Kogetsu	47	20	55	10	31 70	130	21	130	21	120	31	70	78	86	23	16	47	39	23	16	16	39	16	78	16	23	31	33
Luvinnerioe	- 4	20		22	47	47	31	78	-	31	-	47	-	-	16	31	31	78	•	-	-	16	94	-	-	16	8	24
Michipoku	35	23	12	20	70	39	55	109	16	39	16	70	31	35	27	47	55	70	4	-	-	35	141	31	8	27	20	38
Pink Lady	47		-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	32
Predoomoe	-	63	-	31	63	63	-	16	-	16		63	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	16
Qinquan	47	31	-	31	63	63	63	148	-	55	-	63	-	-	31	63	63	94	-	•	-	31	188	-	-	31	16	40
Rubinovoe Duki.	-	31	31	63	•	125	-	16	•	78	-	-	63	31	63	125	-	-	31	-	-	-	-	63	-	-	39	28
Sansa	23	31	16	47	31	94	31	82	-	66	-	31	31	16	47	94	31	47	16	16	16	16	94	31	-	16	27	35
Scarlet	-	78	16	63	63	125	-	23	-	55	-	63	31	16	31	63	-	125	16	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	20	30
Senshu	23	31	-	23	47	47	31	78	-	31	-	47		-	16	31	31	78		-	-	16	400	405	-	10	8 47	24
Shamrock	47	31	47	16	156	31	94	141	63	47	63	156	125	141	4/	63	94	94	16	-	-	78	100	125	31	4/	4/	20
Skifskoe	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	•	31	-	-	31	63	63	31	-	-	-	31	100	-	-	91	10	32 16
Summerdel	-	63	•	31	63	63	-	16	-	16	-	63	-	-		-		20	-	•	-	24	199	-	-	31	- 16	32
Sundowner	47	-	-	16	31	31	63	141	-	47	-	31	-	-	31	- 03	- 03	اد -		63	63	31		-				5
Suntan	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-			47	-	- 20	-	20	23	20	-		55	-	47	12	20	93	25
vista Bella	-	ు సా	29		47	63	12	16	23	- 16	20	61			20	_	-	125	i -	-	-	-		-	-		-	16
t ansnanhong	-	03	-	اد	60	03	-	10	•	10	-		-	_			-			-	-		0¢		•	-	00	
Mean	25	29	14	31	45	61	36	76	6	45	9	45	26	21	31	58	35	57	а	(f	21	92	20	3	20	∡3	3Z

²Coefficients of coancestry values \times 1000; self-pollinated = 500; parent-offspring = 250; full sibs = 250; half sibs = 125; first cousins = 63. No coancestry of known parents indicated with dashes.

^yCultivars with incomplete parentage.

list 35. HortScience 26:951-978.

- Brooks, R.M. and H.P. Olmo. 1994. Register of new fruit and nut varieties list 35. HortScience 29:942–969.
- Brown, A.G. 1973. The effect of inbreeding on vigour and length of juvenile period in apples. Proc. Eucarpia fruit section Symp. V. Top fruit breeding. Canterbury, England, 11–14 Sept. 1973. p. 30–39.
- Brown, S.K. 1992. Genetics of apple. Plant Breeding Rev. 9:333–366.
- Byrne, D.H. 1989. Inbreeding, coancestry, and founding clones of Japanese-type plums of California and the southeastern United States. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 114:699–705.
- de Coster, J. 1986. New apple cultivars. Compact Fruit Trees 19:144–158.
- Cripps, J.E.L., L.A. Richards, and A.M. Mairata. 1993. 'Pink Lady' apple. HortScience 28:1057.

Crosby, J.A., J. Janick, P.C. Pecknold, S.S. Korban, P.A. O'Connor, S.M.

Ries, J. Goffreda, and A. Voordeckers. 1992. Breeding apples for scab resistance: 1945–1990. Fruit Var. J. 46:145–166.

- Cruden, D. 1949. The computation of inbreeding coefficients. J. Hered. 40:248–251.
- Dale, A., P.P. Moore, R.J. McNicol, T.M. Sjulin, and L.A. Burmistrov. 1993. Genetic diversity of red raspberry varieties throughout the world. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 118:119–129.
- Davis, M.B., D.S. Blair, and L.P.S. Spangelo. 1954. Apple breeding at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Canada, 1920–1951. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 63:243–250.
- Dayton, D.F., J.B. Mowry, E.B. Williams, J. Janick, F.H. Emerson, L.F. Hough, and C.H. Bailey. 1977. Co-op 19, 20, 21, and 22: Four scabresistant apple selections released for advanced testing. Purdue Univ. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 755.

- Fischer, C. and M. Fischer. 1993a. Summer apple variety 'Retina'. Erwerbsobstbau 35:79.
- Fischer, C. and M. Fischer. 1993b. Winter apple variety 'Rewena'. Erwerbsobstbau 35:80.
- Gradziel, T.M., W. Beres, and K. Pelletreau. 1993. Inbreeding in California canning clingstone peach cultivars. Fruit Var. J. 47:160–168.
- Hancock, J.F. and J.H. Siefker. 1982. Levels of inbreeding in highbush blueberry cultivars. HortScience 17:363–366.
- Korban, S.S., P.A. O'Connor, S.M. Ries, J.A. Janick, J.A. Crosby, and P.C. Pecknold. 1990. Co-op 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31: Five disease-resistant apple selections released for advanced testing. Ill. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 789.

Lantz, H.L. 1936. Apple breeding: An example of parental prepotency in two progenies of the Delicious apple. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 33:10–12.

- Le Lezec, M. and J. Babin. 1992. Pommier. Variétés incrites en 1992. Catalogue officiel des espèces et variétés fruitières. Arboricult. Fruitière 453:18–20.
- Lesley, J.W. 1957. A genetic study of inbreeding and of crossing inbred lines of peaches. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 70:93–103.
- Lindgren, D., L.D. Gea, and P.A. Jefferson. 1995. Status number—A measure of genetic diversity. Evolution of breeding strategies for conifers from the Pacific North West. Proc. joint meeting IUFRO working parties S2.02.05;06.12 and .14. Limoges, France, 28 July–4 Aug. 1995.

Malécot, G. 1948. Les mathématiques de l'hérédité. Masson & Cie, Paris.

Noiton, D. and C.G.A. Shelbourne. 1992. Quantitative genetics in an apple breeding strategy. Euphytica 60:213–219.

Parisi, L., Y. Lespinasse, J. Guillaumes, and J. Krüger. 1993. A new race of Venturia inaequalis virulent to apples with resistance due to the Vf gene. Phytopathology 83:533–537.

Percival, D.C. and T.A. Proctor. 1994. 'Golden Delicious' progeny: 21st century apples. Fruit Var. J. 48:58–62.

Roach, F.A. 1985. History and evolution of fruit crops. HortScience

23:51-55.

- Sadamori, S., Y. Yoshida, S. Tsuchiya, T. Haniuda, H. Murakami, H. Suzuki, and S. Ishizuka. 1973. New apple variety 'Akane'. Bul. Hort. Res. Sta., Japan, Ser. C No 8.
- Sansavini, S. 1993. Il miglioramento genetico del melo per la resistenza alle avversita biotiche. Riv. Frutticult. 5:61–73.
- Scorza, R., S.A. Mehlenbacher, and G.W. Lightner. 1985. Inbreeding and coancestry of freestone peach cultivars of the eastern United States and implications for peach germplasm improvement. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 110:547–552.
- Scorza, R., W.B. Sherman, and G.W. Lightner. 1988. Inbreeding and coancestry of low chill short fruit development period freestone peaches and nectarines produced by the University of Florida breeding program. Fruit Var. J. 42:79–85.
- Smith, M.W.G. 1971. The national apple register of the United Kingdom. Min. of Agr., Fisheries, and Food, London.
- Tamba, J., S. Tanno, and H. Sato. 1992. New apple cultivar 'Akita Gold'. J. Jpn. Soc. Hort. Sci. 61(Suppl.2):110–111.
- Wang, Y.L. 1990. Apple breeding in China. Plant Breeding Abstr. 60:1315–1318.
- Way, R.D., H.S. Aldwinckle, R.C. Lamb, A. Rejman, S. Sansavini, T. Shen, R. Watkins,

M.N. Westwood, and Y. Yoshida. 1990. Apples. Acta Hort. 290. 1-62.

- Williams, E.B., J. Janick, and F.H. Emerson. 1967. Five scab-resistant apple selections released for grower testing. Purdue Univ. Agr. Expt Sta. Bul. 271.
- Williams, E.B., J. Janick, and F.H. Emerson. 1975. Co-op 12–18: Seven scab-resistant apple selections released for advance testing. Purdue Univ. Agr. Expt Sta. Bul. 69.
- Williams, E.B., J. Janick, F.H. Emerson, S.S. Korban, and D.F. Dayton. 1984. Co-op 23, 24, 25, and 26: Four scab-resistant apple selections released for advanced testing. Purdue Univ. Agr. Expt Sta. Bul. 456.
- Yamada, M., C. Suzuki, and M. Ishiyama. 1980. New apple variety 'Tsugaru'. Bul. Aomori Apple Expt. Sta. 18:1–10.